

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 07/15/2011 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, 8-13, 17-26, 28-33, 37-45 and 49-56 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

3. Authorization for an examiner's amendment was attempted in a telephone interview on October 6th, 2011.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-6, 8-13, 17-26, 28-33, 37-45 and 49-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2427

6. Claim 1 recites limitations "the specific video frames" and "the video frames" in lines 10-12 of claim 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

7. Claim 18 recites limitations "the specific video frames" and "the video frames" in lines 11-13 of claim 18. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

8. Claim 21 recites limitations "the specific video frames" and "the video frames" in lines 12-14 of claim 21. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

9. Claim 38 recites limitations "the specific video frames" and "the video frames" in lines 14-16 of claim 38. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

10. Claim 41 recites the limitation "the video frames" in lines 5-6 of claim 41. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 1-6, 8-13, 17-26, 28-33, 37-45 and 49-56 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Arnold et al (US PG Pub No. 2003/0098869)
- Ramasubramanian (US Patent No. 6,172,672)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KUNAL LANGHNOJA whose telephone number is (571)270-3583. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00 A.M.- 6:30 P.M. ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Scott Beliveau can be reached on 571-272-7343. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/675,028

Page 5

Art Unit: 2427

KL

/Scott Beliveau/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2427